arXiv:1607.05593v2 [math.DG] 15 Apr 2017

The G-invariant spectrum and non-orbifold singularities

Ian M. Adelstein
M. R. Sandoval

Department of Mathematics, Trinity College
Hartford, CT 06106 United States

Abstract

We consider the G-invariant spectrum of the Laplacian on an orbit space M/G where M is a compact Riemannian manifold and G acts by isometries. We generalize the Sunada-Pesce-Sutton technique to the G-invariant setting to produce pairs of isospectral non-isometric orbit spaces. One of these spaces is isometric to an orbifold with constant sectional curvature whereas the other admits non-orbifold singularities and therefore has unbounded sectional curvature. We therefore show that constant sectional curvature and the presence of non-orbifold singularities are inaudible to the G-invariant spectrum.

Keywords: Spectral geometry, Laplace operator, orbit spaces, group actions
2010 MSC: 58J50, 58J53, 22D99, 53C12

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Carolyn Gordon and David Webb for many helpful conversations throughout the course of this project, as well as Emilio Lauret for providing valuable feedback. We would also like to acknowledge the support of the National Science Foundation, Grant DMS-1632786.

1. Introduction

Given a compact Riemannian manifold M and a compact subgroup of its isometry group $G \leq Isom(M)$ we consider the spectral geometry of the orbit space M/G. We are interested in the G-invariant spectrum: the spectrum of the Laplacian restricted to the smooth functions on M which are constant on the orbits. We let $C^\infty(M)^G$ denote the space of such functions. The orbit space M/G has a natural smooth structure given by the algebra $C^\infty(M/G)$ consisting of functions $f\colon M/G \to \mathbb{R}$ whose pullback via $\pi\colon M \to M/G$ are smooth G-invariant functions on M, i.e. $f \in C^\infty(M/G)$ if and only if $\pi^* f \in C^\infty(M)^G$. A map $\phi\colon M_1/G_1 \to M_2/G_2$ is said to be *smooth* if the pullback of every smooth function on M_2/G_2 is a smooth function on M_1/G_1, i.e. $\phi^* f \in C^\infty(M_1/G_1)$ for every $f \in C^\infty(M_2/G_2)$. The notion of equivalence between orbit spaces that we use in this paper is the following:

Definition 1.1. *A map $\phi\colon M_1/G_1 \to M_2/G_2$ is said to be a* smooth SRF isometry *if it is an isometry of metric spaces that is smooth (in the above sense) with smooth inverse.*

We note that the orbit space M/G is an example of a singular Riemannian foliation and the term *SRF isometry* comes from the literature on singular Riemannian foliations cf. [1, 2]. If the orbit spaces M_1/G_1 and M_2/G_2 have the structure of Riemannian orbifolds then the above definition is equivalent to the standard notion of smooth isometry between Riemannian orbifolds.

We are interested in the following inverse spectral questions: What information about the singular set of an orbit space M/G is encoded in its G-invariant spectrum? In particular, can one hear the existence of non-orbifold singularities, i.e. whether or not an orbit space is an orbifold? We note that the negative inverse spectral results from the manifold and orbifold settings hold in the more general setting of orbit spaces. It is therefore known that isotropy type [19] and the order of the maximal isotropy groups [18] are inaudible (i.e. not determined by the G-invariant spectrum). Positive results exist for the G-equivariant spectrum where it has been shown that dimension and volume of the orbit space are spectrally determined [5]. In the Riemannian foliation setting it follows that the spectrum of the basic Laplacian determines dimension and volume of the space of leaf closures [16].

In order to address the above spectral questions we first generalize the Sunada-Pesce-Sutton [21] technique to the G-invariant setting (see Theorem 2.3). We then use this generalization to produce pairs of isospectral non-isometric orbit spaces. As with all Sunada isospectral pairs our examples are quotients of a manifold M by representation equivalent subgroups H_1 and H_2 of the isometry group. The quotients M/H_i are isospectral in the sense that the H_i-invariant spectra of the Laplacian on M are equivalent.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $n \geq 3$ be an odd integer and set $m = (n-1)/2$ with $H_1 = U(n)$ and $H_2 = Sp(m) \times SO(2n-2m)$. Embed H_1 into $U(2n)$ by $st \oplus st^*$ where st is the standard representation of H_1 and st^* is the $\mathbb{R}$-contragredient representation. Embed H_2 into $U(2n)$ by $st' \otimes id \oplus id \otimes st''$ where st' and st'' are the standard representations of $Sp(m)$ and $SO(2n-2m)$ respectively. Then the orbit spaces S^{4n-1}/H_1 and S^{4n-1}/H_2 are isospectral yet non-isometric.*

The representations of the groups H_i used above are shown to be representation equivalent in [3]. The authors then let these groups act on $SU(2n)$ producing isospectral pairs of homogeneous Riemannian manifolds which are simply connected, yet non-homeomorphic [3, Theorem 1.7].

In Section 4 we focus on the case $n = 3$ and consider the isospectral pair $O_1 = S^{11}/U(3)$ and $O_2 = S^{11}/Sp(1) \times SO(4)$. We use principal isotropy reduction to study these spaces and show that they have different isotropy type, maximal isotropy dimension, and quotient codimension of the strata. The most striking differences between these isospectral orbit spaces are given in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *The orbit space O_1 is smoothly SRF isometric to a 3-hemisphere of constant sectional curvature whereas O_2 admits a non-orbifold point and therefore has unbounded sectional curvature. We conclude that constant sectional curvature and the presence of non-orbifold singularities are inaudible properties of the G-invariant spectrum.*

It is important to note that although the isometry between O_1 and the 3-hemisphere is a smooth SRF isometry, it does not preserve leaf codimension and we therefore can not conclude that these spaces are isospectral, cf. [1]. Indeed, it can be shown by direct computation that the Neumann spectrum on the 3-hemisphere is distinct from the $U(3)$-invariant spectrum on S^{11}.

We note that the question of whether orbifold singularities are audible, i.e. whether there exists an isospectral pair of orbifolds one of which admits singular points whereas the other does not (and is therefore a manifold), is an important open question in the field of spectral geometry, cf. [22]. Our examples demonstrate that this question can be reformulated in the more general orbit space setting: Does there exist an isospectral pair of orbit spaces one of which admits singular points whereas the other does not (and is therefore a manifold)?

The fact that constant sectional curvature in not determined by the G-invariant spectrum should be viewed in light of the positive inverse spectral results on constant sectional curvature in the manifold setting. By manipulating the terms in the asymptotic expansion of the heat trace, Berger et al. [4] showed that constant sectional curvature is an audible property of the Laplace spectrum for manifolds of dimension two or three. Using similar techniques Tanno [23] extended these results to manifolds of dimensions less that six. Although asymptotic expansions for the heat trace on orbifolds [8] and Riemannian foliations [17] are known, the terms in these expansions are more complicated than in the manifold setting as they include information from the singular sets of these spaces. The methods of Berger et al. and Tanno do not readily apply in these more exotic settings. Indeed, as noted in Theorem 1.3, the isospectral orbit spaces from Theorem 1.2 demonstrate that constant sectional curvature is an inaudible property of the G-invariant spectrum.

The paper proceeds as follows. In Section 2 we generalize the Sunada-Pesce-Sutton [21] technique in order to prove Theorem 1.2. In Section 3 we review a classification of orbifold singularities. In Section 4 we study the inaudible properties of the isospectral non-isometric orbit spaces provided by Theorem 1.2 and prove Theorem 1.3.

2. The G-invariant Sunada-Pesce-Sutton technique

Negative inverse spectral results are realized by studying pairs of isospectral non-isometric spaces. The celebrated Sunada technique [20] provides a systematic method for producing such pairs. This technique has been generalized and applied in many different settings by modifying its various hypotheses. In this section we generalize the Sunada-Pesce-Sutton [21] technique to the G-invariant setting. We start by reviewing the original Sunada technique and the various

generalizations that lead to our G-invariant formulation. The original theorem concerns finite group actions and is stated in terms of *almost conjugate* subgroups of the isometry group.

Definition 2.1. *Let G be a finite group and let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be subgroups of G. Then Γ_1 is said to be* almost conjugate *to Γ_2 in G if*

$$(\#[g]_G \cap \Gamma_1) = (\#[g]_G \cap \Gamma_2)$$

for each G-conjugacy class $[g]_G$. We call the triple (G, Γ_1, Γ_2) a Sunada triple.

Theorem 2.2 (Sunada's Theorem)**.** *Let (G, Γ_1, Γ_2) be a Sunada triple and M a compact Riemannian manifold on which G acts by isometries. Assume that Γ_1 and Γ_2 act freely. Then M/Γ_1 and M/Γ_2 with the induced Riemannian metrics are strongly isospectral as Riemannian manifolds.*

A simple generalization of this theorem allows for the action to have fixed points (i.e. not necessarily free). One need only note that the hypothesis that the action be free is unnecessary in the proof of the theorem. Using this generalization one can produce isospectral pairs of orbifolds, cf. [19, Theorem 2.5]. It is precisely the hypothesis that the action be free that we will modify in the Sunada-Pesce-Sutton generalization to produce pairs of isospectral non-isometric orbit spaces.

One of the earliest and most fruitful generalizations of the original Sunada theorem was provided by DeTurck and Gordon [7]. They allow G to be a Lie group and Γ_1 and Γ_2 to be representation equivalent cocompact discrete subgroups. If G acts by isometries on a Riemannian manifold M in such a way that M/Γ_1 and M/Γ_2 are compact manifolds then these manifolds are strongly isospectral. The main advantage of their generalization is that the quotients no longer need be by finite subgroups of the isometry group.

Pesce [15] weakened the hypothesis that the discrete subgroups Γ_1 and Γ_2 be representation equivalent, applying the idea of Frobenius reciprocity in his proof. Although the subgroups we use in Theorem 1.2 are representation equivalent, so that we do not need the generality of Pesce's result, we mention it here because the version of Sunada that we employ in the proof of Theorem 1.2 follows from Sutton's generalization of Pesce's result.

Sutton [21, Theorem 2.3] generalized the Sunada-Pesce technique from the setting of discrete subgroups to connected subgroups, allowing for the construction of the first locally non-isometric, simply-connected isospectral homogeneous manifolds. His theorem requires the action of the H_i on M to be free, but this assumption is made to ensure that the quotient spaces are manifolds, and is not necessary in his proof. Indeed, the spaces M/H_i in Theorem 1.2 need not be Riemannian manifolds or orbifolds, but more generalized quotient spaces. Sutton additionally requires in his theorem that the Riemannian submersions $\pi_i \colon M \to M/H_i$ have totally geodesic fibers in order to conclude that the eigenfunctions on M/H_i lift to eigenfunctions on M. This assumption is unnecessary in our setting as we consider the H_i-invariant spectrum on $C^\infty(M)$ as opposed to the spectrum of the Laplacian acting on $C^\infty(M/H_i)$.

We now state the G-invariant version of the Sunada theorem that we will apply in this paper, noting that it follows directly from the Pesce-Sutton generalizations. Indeed, we need only note that the the hypothesis that the action be free in [21, Theorem 2.3] is unnecessary in the proof of the theorem. Moreover, Sutton generalizes his own theorem in [22, Theorem 2.7] and the version stated below is a special case of this result, where again his assumption that $\pi_i \colon M \to M/H_i$ have minimal fibers is unnecessary in the G-invariant setting.

Theorem 2.3 (G-invariant Sunada-Pesce-Sutton technique)**.** *Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold and $G \leq Isom(M)$ a compact Lie group. Suppose $H_1, H_2 \leq G$ are closed, representation equivalent subgroups. Then the orbit spaces M/H_1 and M/H_2 are isospectral in the sense that the H_i-invariant spectra of the Laplacian on M are equivalent.*

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Under the given embeddings [3, Theorem 1.5] states that H_1 and H_2 are representation equivalent as subgroups of $SU(2n) \leq Isom(S^{4n-1})$. The isospectrality of the spaces $O_1 = S^{4n-1}/H_1$ and $O_2 = S^{4n-1}/H_2$ then follows immediately from Theorem 2.3. Finally, we note that there are many geometric properties that distinguish these spaces as non-isometric. We catalogue these properties in Section 4. □

3. Orbifold Points and Non-orbifold Points in an Orbit Space

Here we make note of an important characterization of orbifolds in terms of slice representations from [13] that we will use to prove Theorem 1.3. We begin by recalling the notion of polar actions and polar representations, comprehensively studied in [6].

Definition 3.1. *An action by a Lie group G of isometries on a complete Riemannian manifold M is said to be polar if there exists a complete submanifold Σ that meets every orbit orthogonally. Such a submanifold is known as a section. Note that such submanifolds are necessarily totally geodesic.*

Remark 3.2. By way of illustration of this idea, we note that the action of $SO(2)$ on S^2 by rotations about an axis is polar. One may choose Σ to be any meridian great circle. The quotient space is an interval of the form $[0, \pi]$. This has an orbifold structure with $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action at the endpoints. In fact, it is a good orbifold, $S^1/\mathbb{Z}_2$. This is more generally true for all polar actions, as we see from the proposition below, which follows easily from Chapter 5 of [14].

Proposition 3.3. *If the action of G on M is polar, then the quotient M/G has the smooth structure of a good orbifold.*

Proof. If the action of G on M is polar, then if we can generate M from the action of G on Σ. In other words $G \cdot \Sigma = M$. Now recall the generalized Weyl group of Σ from [14]: Let $N(\Sigma) = \{g \in G \,|\, g \cdot \Sigma = \Sigma\}$. Note that this is the largest subgroup of G which induces an action on Σ. Let $Z(\Sigma) = \{g \in G \,|\, g \cdot s = s,\ \forall s \in \Sigma\}$, which is the kernel of the induced action. Then the *generalized Weyl*

group of Σ is $W(\Sigma) = N(\Sigma)/Z(\Sigma)$. Let $W := W(\Sigma)$. From Proposition 5.6.15 of [14], W is discrete in general, and thus, for G compact, W is finite, and thus Σ/W is a good orbifold. Furthermore, $M/G = \Sigma/W$. Thus, the quotient space M/G corresponds to the good orbifold Σ/W.

Finally, we note that there is a correspondence between the sheaf of G-invariant functions on M and the W-invariant functions on Σ. If $f \in C^\infty(M)^G$ then the restriction of f to Σ is a function in $C^\infty(\Sigma)^W$. Conversely, for any $h \in C^\infty(\Sigma)^W$, we can extend it to all of M by defining it to be constant on the orbits of G. Hence, $h \in C^\infty(M)^G$. As noted in Theorem 5.6.25 of [14], the above defines an isomorphism between $C^\infty(M)^G$ and $C^\infty(\Sigma)^W$. □

Remark 3.4. Notice that in Remark 3.2, the action of S^1 is effective and thus W is the group generated by 180-degree rotations, and hence is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2$ with the previously claimed action on the endpoints.

The arguments in the previous proof are global, rather than local, in nature and produce a stronger result than we seek–that of a good orbifold. One can ask if weaker, more local conditions on the action would guarantee a more generic orbifold structure in the quotient. Indeed, that is known to be the case in the literature of orbit spaces, as we see in what follows.

Before discussing these conditions, we will make note of some additional definitions related to polar actions that are necessary to the results cited in Section 4. We also note an important connection between polar actions and symmetric spaces. The examples of Theorem 1.2 are quotients of spheres. Polar actions on spheres arise from the restriction to the spheres S^n of certain representations of actions by G on the ambient space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. These representation are said to be *polar representations* which we will state in general terms below. If $M = V$ is a Euclidean space with some inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ then the analog of a global section, known as a linear cross section, is defined as follows.

Definition 3.5. *Let $T_v(G \cdot v)$ be the tangent space to the orbit of v. We say v is regular if $T_v(G \cdot v)$ is of maximal dimension. If v is regular, we define the linear space $\mathfrak{a}_v = \{u \in V \mid \langle u, T_v(G \cdot v)\rangle = 0\}$ to be a linear cross-section. Note that $\mathfrak{a}_v$ meets every orbit, by Lemma 1 of [6].*

Definition 3.6. *A representation $\rho : G \to O(V)$ is said to be a polar representation if for any regular point v_0, and for any $u \in \mathfrak{a}_{v_0}$, $\langle T_u(G \cdot u), \mathfrak{a}_{v_0}\rangle = 0$. Thus, we have a linear cross section of minimal dimension that meets the tangent space to every orbit orthogonally.*

Polar representations are closely related to symmetric spaces, as noted in [6]. The relationship is as follows: if G/H is a symmetric space, then the action of H on $T_{eH}(G/H)$ at the identify coset eH is polar. On the other hand, if $\rho : G' \to O(V)$ is any polar representation on a real vector space V, then there exists a symmetric space G/H and an isometry $\varphi : V \to T_{eH}(G/H)$ that sends the G'-orbits injectively onto the H-orbits, (Proposition 6 of [6]).

Now we turn to the infinitesimal version of the definition of a polar action which is essential to determining when an orbit space has the smooth structure of an orbifold.

Definition 3.7. *Let $x \in M$ and let H_x be the normal space to the orbit through x. The isotropy group G_x acts on H_x. This is called the slice representation at x. If the slice representations at every point $x \in M$ are polar, then the representation is said to be infinitesimally polar, as in [10]. We note that every polar representation is infinitesimally polar.*

The characterization of orbifold points that we will use comes from Theorem 1.1 of [13], which we state below:

Theorem 3.8 (Theorem 1.1 of [13])**.** *Let M be a Riemannian manifold and let G be a closed group of isometries of M. Let $B = M/G$ be the quotient, which is stratified by orbit type. Let B_0 be the maximal stratum of B. Let $x \in M$ be a point with isotropy group G_x acting on the normal space H_x of the orbit $G \cdot x \subset M$. Set $y = G \cdot x \in B$. Let $z \in B_0$, and let $\overline{\kappa}(z)$ be the maximum of the sectional curvatures of the tangent planes at z. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. $\limsup_{z \in B_0, z \to y} \overline{\kappa}(z) < \infty$.
2. $\limsup_{z \in B_0, z \to y} \overline{\kappa}(z) \cdot (d(z,y))^2 = 0$.
3. *The action of G_x on H_x is polar. (I.e., the slice representation at x is polar.)*
4. *A neighborhood of y in B is a smooth Riemannian orbifold. (I.e., there exists a neighborhood $\overline{U}$ of y in B that is isometric as a metric space to U/Γ where U is a neighborhood in a smooth Riemannian manifold and Γ is a finite group of isometries of U.)*

It is these last two equivalent conditions that are of particular interest here. As a consequence, an orbit space has an orbifold structure if and only if the action of G on M is infinitesimally polar. We note that the proof of the last two equivalences above relies on fundamental properties and results relating to singular Riemannian foliations and polar actions, and thus illustrates how one may augment the local understanding of orbifolds via the connection with quotients of manifolds by isometric group actions (or, equivalently, with the leaf spaces of singular Riemannian foliations with closed leaves).

Definition 3.9. *A point y in the quotient satisfying the last condition of the above is said to be an orbifold point. Otherwise, y is a non-orbifold point. From the above, a point $y \in M/G$ is an orbifold point if and only if the slice representation is polar.*

As noted in Corollary 1.2 of [13], the set of non-orbifold points in the quotient has relatively high quotient codimension–at least 3.

4. The Examples

In this section we examine the pair of isospectral spaces $O_1 = S^{11}/U(3)$ and $O_2 = S^{11}/Sp(1) \times SO(4)$ provided by Theorem 1.2 to determine inaudible

properties of the G-invariant spectrum. In particular, we prove Theorem 1.3 demonstrating that the existence of non-orbifold singularities and constant sectional curvature are not determined by the G-invariant spectrum. Additionally we have the following proposition which follows immediately from the tables below.

Proposition 4.1. *As demonstrated by the isospectral pair $O_1 = S^{11}/U(3)$ and $O_2 = S^{11}/Sp(1) \times SO(4)$ the following properties of orbit spaces are inaudible, i.e. not determined by the G-invariant spectrum: isotropy type, maximal isotropy dimension, and quotient codimension of the strata.*

We note that some of these results are known in the orbifold setting where the inaudibility of isotropy type [19] and the order of the maximal isotropy groups [18] have been demonstrated. Quotient codimension of the strata is a topological property of the quotient and is known to be preserved under smooth SRF isometry [1]. It is nevertheless not determined by the G-invariant spectrum.

The first step in our study of the pairs of isospectral non-isometric orbit spaces provided by Theorem 1.2 is an application of principal isotropy reduction, cf. [10] or [11].

Lemma 4.2. *Principal isotropy reduction yields the following smooth SRF isometries (note that these isometries do not preserve the spectra):*

$$O_1 = S^{11}/U(3) = S^7/U(2)$$

$$O_2 = S^{11}/Sp(1) \times SO(4) = S^7/Sp(1) \times O(2).$$

Proof. Here we view $S^{11} \subset \mathbb{C}^6$ so that points $(z_1, \ldots, z_6) \in S^{11}$ are such that $z_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_6|^2 = 1$. We consider each of the reductions separately. First we apply principal isotropy reduction to $S^{11}/U(3)$. The principal isotropy for this action is $K = U(1) \times Id_2$. We choose a specific copy of $K \leq U(3)$, say

$$K = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{c|cc} z & & \\ \hline & 1 & 0 \\ & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right] : z \in U(1) \right\}$$

and then use the embedding of $U(3)$ into $Isom(S^{11})$ given in Theorem 1.2 to realize the principal isotropy as

$$K = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} z & & & \\ \hline & 1 & 0 & \\ & 0 & 1 & \\ \hline & & & \bar{z} \\ & & & \hline & 1 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right] : z \in U(1) \right\} \leq SU(6) \leq Isom(S^{11}).$$

We see that the vectors $v = (0, z_2, z_3, 0, z_5, z_6) \in S^{11}$ are fixed by K and that the fixed point set is therefore a copy of $S^7 \subset S^{11}$. Let N denote the induced

action on this fixed point set of the normalizer of K in $U(3)$. Because N acts on the vectors $v = (0, z_2, z_3, 0, z_5, z_6) \in S^{11}$ the largest it can be is

$$N = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{c|c|cc} z & & & \\ \hline & A & & \\ \hline & & \bar{z} & \\ & & & \bar{A} \end{array}\right] : z \in U(1),\ A \in U(2) \right\}.$$

It is straightforward to check that $U(1) \times U(2)$ normalizes $K = U(1) \times Id_2$ so that indeed $N = U(1) \times U(2)$. Principal isotropy reduction therefore yields the metric space isometry $S^{11}/U(3) = S^7/(N/K) = S^7/U(2)$. Finally, as these orbit spaces are all three dimensional, [2, Theorem 1.5] implies that these metric space isometries are smooth SRF isometries.

Next we apply principal isotropy reduction to $S^{11}/Sp(1) \times SO(4)$. The principal isotropy for this action is $K = Id_4 \times (SO(2) \times Id_2)$. We choose a specific copy of $K \leq Sp(1) \times SO(4)$, say

$$K = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{c|cc} Id_4 & & \\ \hline & A & 0 \\ & 0 & Id_2 \end{array}\right] : A \in SO(2) \right\}$$

and then use the embedding of $Sp(1) \times SO(4)$ into $Isom(S^{11})$ given in Theorem 1.2 to realize the principal isotropy as

$$K = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{c|cc|cc} Id_4 & & & & \\ \hline & A & 0 & & \\ & 0 & Id_2 & & \\ \hline & & & A & 0 \\ & & & 0 & Id_2 \end{array}\right] : A \in SO(2) \right\} \leq SU(6) \leq Isom(S^{11}).$$

We see that the vectors $v = (z_1, z_2, 0, z_4, 0, z_6) \in S^{11}$ are fixed by K and that the fixed point set is therefore a copy of $S^7 \subset S^{11}$. Let N denote the induced action on this fixed point set of the normalizer of K in $Sp(1) \times SO(4)$. Because N acts on the vectors $v = (z_1, z_2, 0, z_4, 0, z_6) \in S^{11}$ the largest it can be is

$$N = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{c|cc|cc} B & & & & \\ \hline & C & 0 & & \\ & 0 & D & & \\ \hline & & & C & 0 \\ & & & 0 & D \end{array}\right] : B \in Sp(1), \left[\begin{array}{cc} C & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{array}\right] \in SO(4) \right\}$$

It is straightforward to check that the above matrices normalize $K = Id_4 \times (SO(2) \times Id_2)$ so that indeed $N = Sp(1) \times S(O(2) \times O(2))$. We have that

$N/K = Sp(1) \times S(O(1) \times O(2)) = Sp(1) \times O(2)$ so that principal isotropy reduction yields the metric space isometry

$$S^{11}/Sp(1) \times SO(4) = S^7/(N/K) = S^7/Sp(1) \times O(2).$$

Again, as these orbit spaces are all three dimensional, [2, Theorem 1.5] implies that these metric space isometries are smooth SRF isometries. □

Remark 4.3. Theorem 1.2 states that the spaces S^{4n-1}/H_1 and S^{4n-1}/H_2 are isospectral for odd integers $n \geq 3$. Analogous to Lemma 4.2, we note without proof that for such n principal isotropy reduction yields the following smooth SRF isometries (again note that these isometries do not preserve the spectra):

$$S^{4n-1}/U(n) = S^7/U(2)$$

$$S^{4n-1}/Sp(m) \times SO(2n-2m) = S^7/Sp(1) \times O(2).$$

We now study the isotropy type and quotient codimension of these reduced spaces. We note that the embeddings of $U(2)$ and $Sp(1) \times O(2)$ into $Isom(S^7)$ are the same as the embeddings given in Theorem 1.2. We therefore consider the vector decomposition $v = (v_1, v_2) \in S^7 \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$ when discussing the first quotient and $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in S^7 \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ when discussing the second quotient. The following two tables catalogue the isotropy and quotient codimension of these reduced spaces using this vector decomposition. Within each table, rows with the same letter have the same isotropy and orbit (hence the same slice representation), whereas the numerical subscripts distinguish between zero and non-zero values for the scalars $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Table 1: $O_1 = S^7/U(2)$

Row	Isotropy	qcodim	Points
A	Id	0	$v_1 \neq z \cdot \bar{v}_2$
B_1	$U(1)$	1	$v_1 = z \cdot \bar{v}_2$
B_2	$U(1)$	3	$v_1 \neq 0,\ v_2 = 0$
B_3	$U(1)$	3	$v_1 = 0,\ v_2 \neq 0$

Note: $v = (v_1, v_2) \in S^7 \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$

Table 2: $O_2 = S^7/Sp(1) \times O(2)$

Row	Isotropy	qcodim	Points
A	$Id \times Id$	0	$v_1 \neq 0,\ v_2 \neq \lambda \cdot v_3$
B_1	$Id \times O(1)$	1	$v_1 \neq 0,\ v_2 = \lambda \cdot v_3$
B_2	$Id \times O(1)$	2	$v_1 \neq 0,\ v_2 \neq 0,\ v_3 = 0$
B_3	$Id \times O(1)$	2	$v_1 \neq 0,\ v_2 = 0,\ v_3 \neq 0$
C	$Sp(1) \times Id$	1	$v_1 = 0,\ v_2 \neq \lambda \cdot v_3$
D	$Id \times O(2)$	3	$v_1 \neq 0,\ v_2 = v_3 = 0$
E_1	$Sp(1) \times O(1)$	2	$v_1 = 0,\ v_2 = \lambda \cdot v_3$
E_2	$Sp(1) \times O(1)$	3	$v_1 = 0,\ v_2 \neq 0,\ v_3 = 0$
E_3	$Sp(1) \times O(1)$	3	$v_1 = 0,\ v_2 = 0,\ v_3 \neq 0$

Note: $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in S^7 \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$

We hope to provide some intuition for the structure of the quotient space O_2. As the space is 3-dimensional we choose the following three variables to parameterize the space

$$r_1 = |v_1|,\ r_2 = |v_2|,\ \alpha = \measuredangle(v_2, v_3)$$

where $r_1,\ r_2 \in [0,1]$ and $\alpha \in [0,\pi]$. Note that $r_3 = |v_3|$ is determined by the equation $r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_3^2 = 1$. In the figure below we let the z-direction correspond to the r_1 parameter, the y-direction correspond to the r_2 parameter, and the x-direction correspond to the α parameter. It is important to note that this is not a metric picture, but instead a schematic intended to illustrate how the pieces of the quotient space fit together. Indeed, we have arranged so that in any horizontal slice (r_1 constant), the α constant curves are half-ellipses which foliate the circular cross section (right side of the figure). The boundary circle of any such horizontal slice will therefore have $\alpha = 0$ along the x-negative half of the circle and $\alpha = \pi$ along the x-positive half of the circle. The $x = 0$ line in any such cross section corresponds to $\alpha = \pi/2$. This means that all values of $\alpha \in [0,\pi]$ are represented where these ellipses meet (for example at the points E_2 and E_3 in the $r_1 = 0$ slice), but this is precisely where $v_2 = 0$ or $v_3 = 0$ and the α parameter collapses.



Figure 1: Schematic of the quotient space on the left and the $r_1 = 0$ horizontal cross section with α constant curves on the right.

Let us now describe how each piece of the parameterization of the quotient corresponds to the stratification by isotropy given in the table. The interior of the cone corresponds to the open dense 3-dimensional regular region with trivial isotropy from row A. The bottom face of the cone has $r_1 = 0$ and therefore corresponds to the 2-dimensional region from row C. The circular boundary of this bottom face is where $r_1 = 0$ and $\alpha = 0$ or π and corresponds to the 1-dimensional region from row E_1. The two labeled points on this circle are

where $v_3 = 0$ or $v_2 = 0$ and correspond to 0-dimensional regions E_2 and E_3, respectively. The conical boundary face is where $\alpha = 0$ or π and corresponds to the 2-dimensional region from row B_1. The lines from E_2 to D and from E_3 to D are where $v_3 = 0$ and $v_2 = 0$, respectively, and correspond to the 1-dimensional regions B_2 and B_3, respectively. Finally the vertex is where $r_1 = 1$ and corresponds to the 0-dimensional region from row D. We now show that the vertex is the only non-orbifold point in the quotient space.

Lemma 4.4. *The space $O_2 = S^7/Sp(1) \times O(2)$ admits a non-orbifold point.*

Proof. We first show that the slice representation of the action is non-polar at points $v = (v_1, 0, 0) \in S^7$ from row D of Table 2. By Theorem 3.8 we can then conclude that the image of this strata is a non-orbifold point.

The slice representation of the action at v is polar if and only if the slice representation of the restriction of the action to the connected component of the identity is polar, cf. [10, Section 2.4]. We therefore consider the action of $Sp(1) \times SO(2)$ on S^7 which acts with isotropy $Id \times SO(2)$ at $v = (v_1, 0, 0) \in S^7$. We recall from [10, Lemma 2] that polar actions of connected groups with trivial principal isotropy must have a codimension 1 stratum with non-trivial isotropy. The slice representation of $Sp(1) \times SO(2)$ at $v = (v_1, 0, 0) \in S^7$ has non-trivial isotropy only at the zero vector, hence by [10, Lemma 2] can not be polar. □

Lemma 4.5 ([10], Theorem 1)**.** *The space $O_1 = S^{11}/U(3)$ is isometric to the 3-hemisphere of constant sectional curvature 4.*

The proof of Lemma 4.5 is provided in [10, Section 3.4] where $S^{11}/U(3)$ is first reduced to $S^7/U(2)$ via principal isotropy reduction as above and then shown to be isometric to the 3-hemisphere of constant curvature 4. We note that O'neill's formula can also be used to show that the space $S^7/U(2)$ has constant sectional curvature 4.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5 show that the orbit space O_1 is isospectral to an orbifold whereas O_2 admits a non-orbifold point, demonstrating the inaudibility of non-orbifold singularities. We can then apply Theorem 3.8 to the space O_2 to conclude that it has unbounded sectional curvature. We conclude that constant sectional curvature is not determined by the G-invariant spectrum. □

References

[1] I. Adelstein, M. R. Sandoval, Isometries of Singular Riemannian Foliations and their Spectral Properties, preprint (2017).

[2] M. Alexandrino, A. Lytchak, On smoothness of isometries between orbit spaces, Riemannian Geometry and Applications, In: Proceedings RIGA Ed. Univ. Bucuresti (2011), 17–28.

[3] J. An, J.-K Yu, J. Yu. On the dimension datum of a subgroup and its application to isospectral manifolds, J. Differential Geom., 94(1), 59–85 (2013).

[4] M. Berger, P. Gauduchon, E. Mazet. Le spectre d'une variete Riemannienne, Lect. Notes Math., 194, Berlin: Springer Verlag, 1974.

[5] J. Brüning, E. Heintze. The asymptotic expansion of Minakshisundaram-Pleijel in the equivariant case, Duke Math. J., 51, 959–980 (1984).

[6] J. Dadok. Polar ccordinates induced by action of compact Lie groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 288, 125–137 (1985).

[7] D. M. DeTurck, C. S. Gordon, K. B. Lee. Isospectral deformations II: Trace formulas, metrics, and potentials, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 42(8), 1067–1095 (1989).

[8] E. Dryden, C. Gordon, S. Greenwald, D. Webb. Asymptotic Expansion of the Heat Kernel for Orbifolds, Michigan Math. J.,56, 205–238 (2008).

[9] C. Farsi. Orbifold spectral theory, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 31(1), 215–235 (2001).

[10] C. Gorodski, A. Lytchak, Isometric group actions with an orbifold quotient, Math. Ann., 365, 1041–1067(2016).

[11] C. Gorodski, A. Lytchak. On orbit spaces of representations of compact Lie groups, J. Reine Angew. Math., 691, 61–100(2014).

[12] M. Kac. Can one hear the shape of a drum?, Amer. Math. Monthly, 73(4), 1–23 (1996).

[13] A. Lytchak, G. Thorbergsson. Curvature explosion in quotients and applications, J. Differential. Geom., 85, 117-139 (2010).

[14] R. Palais, C. Terng. Critical point theory and submanifold geometry, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1353. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.

[15] H. Pesce. Représentations reletivement équivalentes et variétés Riemanniannes isospectrales, Comment. Math. Helv., 71(1), 243–268 (1996).

[16] K. Richardson. The asymptotics of heat kernels on Riemannian foliations, Geom. Funct. Anal., 8, 356–401 (1998).

[17] K. Richardson, Traces of heat operators on Riemannian foliations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 362(5), 2301–2337 (2010).

[18] J. P. Rossetti, D. Shueth, M. Weilandt. Isospectral orbifolds with different maximal isotropy orders, Ann. Global Anal. Geom., 34(4), 351–356 (2008).

[19] N. Shams, E. Stanhope, D. L. Webb. One cannot hear orbifold isotropy type, Arch. Math., 87(4), 375–385 (2006).

[20] T. Sunada. Riemannian Coverings and Isospectral Manifolds, Ann. of Math.,121(1), 169–186 (1985).

[21] C. J. Sutton. Isospectral simply-connected homogeneous spaces and the spectral rigidity of group actions, Comment. Math. Helv., 77(4), 701–717 (2002).

[22] C. J. Sutton. Equivariant Isospectrality and Sunada's method, Arch. Math., 95(1), 75–85(2010).

[23] S. Tanno. Eigenvalues of the Laplacian of Riemannian manifolds, Tohoku Math. J. (2), 25, 391–403, (1973).